

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT; Ralph E. Bucknam

SERIAL NO.: 10/066,847

FILED: February 4, 2002

TITLE: ENERGY FINDING TECHNIQUE AND TYPICAL DEVICE

CASE: BA 22810

SUPERVISING EXAMINER: John R. L e

GROUP: 2881

10/Setter  
L. Steptue  
6-5-03

## SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY TO FINAL OFFICIAL ACTION

As indicated in Examiner Nakita Wells' Final Rejection, this letter is being directed to you not because he can not be "reached" physically but because of complete failure of understanding between Applicant and the Examiner.

With all humility, as will be seen from the enclosed pamphlet entitled "FULL ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF 'ANTI-MATTER'", this is, beyond any room for doubt, the most profound and important disclosure at least of the past century, being of extremely great significance to our Nation and the Scientific World in general!

Chapter 11 entitled "Matter" of my 700 page treatise "THE IMPARTIAL EYE, A New Approach to Mathematics and Physics", the first book copyrighted under the new law (No. TX1 filed January 2, 1978) includes a very careful and complete analysis of Dirac's "Spin" 1930 paper predicting the presence of the positron. The language about the Anti-Matter "challenge" set out on page 11 of the enclosed pamphlet is taken in part from the treatise of 1978.

Quite clearly, there can be no real commercial value to a patent granted on the present application. There is enormous, sometimes furious, resentment by the entire Scientific Community to being told that  $E=mc^2$  is fatally flawed, as explained in the application, and that trigonometry and all calculations based thereon are inaccurate because of a mathematical mistake by Euler.

Understandably, I can not press on with this huge effort to inform Science of the true state of mathematics and physics without some assurance from the Patent Office that the necessary effort will be exerted to understand this disclosure fully. My thought would be to add the enclosed pamphlet as a continuation-in-part, but I would do this only with some assurance from the Patent Office that my effort will not receive the superficial treatment given to my Appln. 316,471 and the present Application to date.

BUCKNAM AND ARCHER

Attorney for Applicant  
1077 Northern Boulevard  
Roslyn, New York 11576  
(631) 427-9322

(516) 365-9802

Respectfully submitted,



Ralph E. Bucknam  
Reg. No. 14814

RECEIVED  
MAY 30 2003  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

RECEIVED